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#### Abstract

The magnitude and the type of lower leg injuries observed in real-world frontal accidents as well as the injury mechanisms in this body region have been examined. An investigation into the APR (Association Peugeot Renault) accident database has been carried out. From 2,022 front-seat occupants, restrained or not, involved in a frontal collision, 208 sustained either a strain, a laceration into joint, a dislocation or a fracture below the tibial plateaux. An in-depth analysis of the injury mechanisms has been performed using the X-rays of 42 occupants.

The most prominent injuries are metatarsal fractures (39 cases), malleolar fractures ( 39 cases) and ankle sprains ( 44 cases).

The brake pedal increases significantly the number of injuries on the right foot of the drivers. However no differences have been found between the right and the left legs as far as drivers' ankles are concerned. Passengers sustain more injuries on their left ankle, and seem to have more injuries on their right foot, directly exposed to wheel well intrusions.

The most significant parameters which influence the lower leg injuries appear to be the delta-V correlated with the footwell intrusion and the configuration of the impact especially the overlap. The seat-belt use and the occupant's age do not affect significantly lower leg injuries.

Two main mechanisms are identified. In the first one, forces acting under the metatarsal condyles coupled with the inertial effect of a dorsiflexing foot produce metatarsal fractures. Malleolar fractures and ankle sprains are attributed to eversion/inversion motions caused by forces acting under the ball of the foot.

This study represents a basis for tests involving cadavers and the Hybrid III dummy.


## 1. INTRODUCTION.

Although lower leg injuries are not life-threatening, they result in long-term disability and heavy cost to society (Pletschen [1990] ${ }^{10}$ )*, which includes medical costs, productivity losses and administrative expenses.

[^0]Lower limbs are one of the most common sites of injuries in car accidents together with head and thorax, especially in frontal impacts. Taking into account all AIS $2+$ injuries occurring in frontal impacts and recorded in the APR accident database, lower extremities were found to be the second body region after the head for restrained drivers, and the fourth after the thorax, head and upper extremities for the restrained right-front passengers.

Most of the previous studies were focused on the upper leg since the mechanism of injury originating from the impact of the knee on the dashboard is quite simple and very frequent. For this reason, some comparisons will be made between the upper leg and the lower leg, throughout this paper.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the magnitude and the type of lower leg injuries as well as the main injury mechanisms, on which our attention must be focused, in order to face the legislation requirements, and improve the Hybrid III biofidelity. Some authors such as Ward [1991] ${ }^{13}$ have pointed out the deficiencies of the ECE regulations controlling the footwell intrusion. The capacity for the instrumented lower leg of the Hybrid III dummy to measure lower leg tolerances has not been well established and further research is necessary.

## 2. ANATOMY OF THE LOWER EXTREMITIES.

The talus hinges with the tibia and the fibula between the medial and the lateral malleolus, (figure 1). This makes up the ankle joint. The calcaneum underneath bears the talus. Metatarsals and phalanges are connected to the talus and the calcaneum through a juxtaposition of several bones: the navicular, the cuboid and the three cuneiforms. The ankle joint is respectively strengthened laterally and medially by the calcaneal fibular and the deltoid ligaments. Those ligaments are the site of so-called "ankle sprains".


Figure 1: anatomy of the lower extremities, Huelke [1986] ${ }^{3}$.

## 3. BIBLIOGRAPHY.

Thorough investigations of lower leg injuries using accident databases, with a view to determining the injury mechanisms are quite recent. Some authors analyse the areas of contact between the occupant and the vehicle. Huelke [1991] ${ }^{4}$ distinguishes two main areas: the dashboard which causes fractures to the knee, femur and hip joint, and the footwell including the pedals which cause foot and ankle fractures. For the drivers, AIS $2+$ injuries of the tibia/fibula are attributed to the dashboards ( $53 \%$ of the cases) and to the footwell/pedals ( $37 \%$ of the cases).

Pattimore [1991] ${ }^{9}$ and Ward [1991] ${ }^{13}$ used rough areas of contact coded in their computerized file, in conjunction with each lesion. According to Pattimore [1991] ${ }^{9}$, the footwell is the main source of injuries if drivers and passengers are considered together. However, the pedals intervene in $49 \%$ of the foot and ankle fractures of drivers. Ward [1991] ${ }^{13}$ noticed that the footwell created more AIS $2+$ lesions $(39,5 \%)$ than the dashboard $(24,6 \%)$. Indeed, the dashboard generates more AIS $1+$ lesions $(40,7 \%)$ than the footwell $(8,2 \%)$. Those analyses make it difficult to study the mechanisms thoroughly.

Other authors have analysed more precisely a limited sample of cases, sometimes using X-rays. Morgan [1991] ${ }^{6}$ has attributed a mechanism for each of his 480 occupants involved in frontal collisions. Six different mechanisms were identified. The main mechanisms involve contact with the foot controls for the drivers and direct contact with the footwell for the passengers. Dorsiflexion together with an axial load along the tibia is assumed to be the right phenomena.

Lestina [1992] 5 from 23 cases including X-rays only studied the ankle, the navicular and the calcaneal fractures. Inversion and eversion caused ankle fractures in 15 cases out of 23 , mainly malleolar fractures.

Otte [1992] ${ }^{8}$ studies ankle and foot fractures from basic movements such as dorsiflexion, plantar flexion, compression, pronation and supination. By combining them, he defines complex mechanisms. The origins of lesions are:

- direct impacts, the body's inertial effect and compression between intruding structures,
- rotations, some of them being due to slipping off the pedals.

States [1971] ${ }^{11}$ describes a specific mechanism of fracture which consists of an entrapment of the lower leg between the dashboard and the floor. It could occur together with torque or flexion and create tibial diaphysis fractures, (States [1986] ${ }^{12}$ ). Slipping off the pedals may explain ankle and foot fractures.

Backaitis [1987] ${ }^{1}$ also reports 2 cases of ankle fractures which are attributed to the pedals.

Nahum [1968] ${ }^{7}$ defines 2 kinds of mechanism: the direct impact as it happened in the case of tibial diaphysis fracture presented in his paper, and the forced motions such as those causing a malleolar fractures.

Zeidler [1981] ${ }^{14}$ focuses on the foot and ankle fractures of drivers involved in glance-off frontal car-to-car impacts. However this configuration, although rare (12/82 cases involved in car-to-car impacts on the driver near side with up to $2 / 3$ overlap), highlights the damaging effect of direct impact on the foot and ankle.

In many papers, body regions are not precisely defined. Some of them only deal with ankle and foot injuries. So, it is often difficult to determine the main body regions and mechanisms on which our attention must be focused. Gloyns [1979] ${ }^{2}$ does describe precisely the injuries, but in slightly more violent accidents than the average.

In some publications, the influence of a parameter such as the belt, delta- V is evaluated without taking into account the number of occupants involved in each class defined by the parameter.

For those reasons, and also in order to help the synthesis and the criticism of the many figures found in the literature, an in-depth study has been performed using the APR accident database.

## 4. ACCIDENT ANALYSIS FROM THE APR FILE. <br> 4.1. Introduction.

This investigation concerns 208 front-seat occupants (see Annexe) out of 2,022 who sustain at least either a sprain, a laceration into joint, a dislocation or a fracture to the lower leg. Sometimes, for comparison purposes, 396 occupants with similar injuries to the lower extremities and the pelvis have been considered. They include the 208 previous ones. The 2,022 occupants involved were selected as follows:

- single frontal collisions, with delta-V and acceleration known
- cars with first registration after 1972.
- non-ejected front-seat occupants tightly restrained or unrestrained, with age known.
The injury codes of the computerized files have been revised for the 396 occupants from the description recorded in the medical files.

The lower extremities were divided into 9 body areas:
The upper leg $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { 1- Hip joint, acetabulum, femoral head. } \\ \text { 2- Pubic rami. } \\ \text { 3- Other parts of the pelvis. } \\ \text { 4- Femoral diaphysis. } \\ \text { 5- Knee including femoral condyles and tibial plateaux. }\end{array}\right.$
The lower leg $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { 6- Tibial diaphysis. } \\ \text { 7- Ankle: malleolus, talus, calcaneum, navicular and the } \\ \text { cuneiforms. } \\ \text { 8- Metatarsus. } \\ \text { 9- Toes. }\end{array}\right.$

### 4.2. Relative proportion of the lesions.

Injured occupants (restrained or not) at the level of the foot and the ankle (162/396 $=40,9 \%$ ), with severities as defined by the criteria specified earlier, are as numerous as those suffering knee injuries ( $160 / 396=40,4 \%$ ), (figure 2 ). Hip joint, femoral diaphysis and even metatarsal fractures are also quite common, ( $77 / 396=19,4 \%, 74 / 396=$ $18,6 \%, 42 / 396=10,6 \%$ respectively).

Figure 2: risk of injury (sprains, laceration into joint, dislocations, fractures) in the various leg areas, among restrained and unrestrained front occupants having sustained a frontal impact.


The main lesions occurring in each of the 9 body areas defined earlier are described in table 1. The number opposite each type of injury represents the number of occupants who have sustained that injury.

| Region | Injury | Number of <br> occupants | Frequency <br> (\% out of 396) |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hip | Acetabulum fractures | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | 12,4 |
| Pubic rami | Fractures of pubic rami | 23 | 5,8 |
| Femoral diaphysis | Fractures of the diaphysis | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | 18,7 |
| Knee | Kneecap fractures | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 19,4 |
| Tibial diaphysis | Fractures of the diaphysis | 21 | 5,3 |
| Ankle | Fibula fractures | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 12,1 |
|  | Tibia fractures (distal part) | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 9,1 |
|  | Talar fractures | 21 | 5,3 |
|  | Fractures of the calcaneum | 12 | 3,0 |
|  | Ankle sprains | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 11,1 |
| Metatarsus | Metatarsal fractures | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 9,8 |
| Toes | Toe dislocations | 7 | 1,8 |
|  | Toe fractures | 6 | 1,5 |

Table 1: main injuries encountered in each body area for restrained and unrestrained front occupants having sustained a frontal impact.

Many minor wounds (contusions, abrasions, etc...) are also reported especially to the knee, but a very few to the ankle and the foot.

Of those occupants having distal tibial fractures, 39 sustained malleolar fractures and 8 others have "ankle fractures", which in principle concern malleoli too. Their frequency between the right and the left ankle remains the same, whether it concerns drivers or passengers. The medial malleolus seems to be slightly more fractured but the difference is not significant.

Ankle sprains are frequent too (44 occupants). They are incorrectly described in 29 out of 44 cases. Out of the other 15 well documented cases, 12 have injuries to their lateral ligaments. Sprains seem to occur more frequently on the left ankle of the passengers, near the transmission tunnel, (right ankle: 3 passengers, left ankle: 10 passengers, 1 passenger whose the side was unknown; $\chi^{2}=7.04$ but some numbers are less than 5).

82 metatarsal fractures were recorded among the 39 occupants concerned. They occur 1 time on the $1^{\text {st }}$ metatarsal,

23 times on the $2^{\text {nd }}$,
20 times on the 3 rd,
21 times on the $4^{\text {th }}$,
12 times on the 5 th,
( 5 are not described any further), so mainly the $2 \underline{\text { nd }}$, the 3 rd and the $4 \underline{\text { th }}$ metatarsals. The greater mobility of the $1^{\text {st }}$ and the $5^{\text {th }}$ metatarsals, and the higher breaking strength of the $1^{\text {st }}$ compared with the others, make them intervene less frequently.

From the 396 occupants with lower extremity injuries, 380 were precisely described in terms of location and side of the injury, (right or left leg). Those 380 occupants represent 452 injured lower extremities among which 339 (75\%) sustain isolated lesions in one of the nine body regions defined previously, mainly on the knee (104/452 = $23,0 \%)$ and on the ankle $(105 / 452=23,2 \%)$. An examination of the combinations of injuries between the regions of the upper leg confirms the mechanism originated from the impact of the knee on the dashboard. But combinations between the knee and the ankle can't support the entrapment described by States $[1971,1986]^{11,12}$, insofar as there is a high probability of lesions occurring at both ankle and knee.

### 4.3. Influence of parameters concerning the occupant. <br> - Comparison between the drivers and the passengers.

The risk of lower leg injury is the same for all categories of occupants, except for unrestrained passengers, (figure 3). No reason has been found to explain this exception. On the contrary, the risk of sustaining upper leg injuries is higher for drivers than for passengers. Indeed, the footwell intrusion is higher on the driver side. Also, drivers may impact hard parts of the vehicle structure that are situated close to the knees such as the steering column.


Figure 3: Comparison of the lesions to several body regions, between drivers and passengers involved in frontal collisions, with respect to their seat-belt use.

Moreover, as far as right-front passengers are concerned, the risk to the ankles is significantly greater than that posed to the knees. The risk to the knees, for unrestrained drivers is greater than the risk to the ankles. For restrained drivers, the difference is not significant, ( $\chi^{2}=1.02<3.84$ ).

Seat-belt use does not reduce the number of lower leg injuries. The possible beneficial role of the seat belt for unrestrained passengers is not significant, $\left(\chi^{2}=2.91\right.$ as far as lower leg injuries are concerned, and $\chi^{2}=1.67<3.84$ as far as ankle injuries are concerned).

## - Comparison between the left and the right leg.

By distinguishing between injuries concerning the "foot" and those concerning the "ankle", the tibia and the fibula, the effect of the pedals can be highlighted (table 2).

| 208 occupants injured in the lower legs. | 1421 drivers |  | 601 passengers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Footwell intrusion |  | Footwell intrusion |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} <150 \mathrm{~mm} \\ 1102 \text { drivers } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >150 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & 319 \text { drivers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <150 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & 464 \text { pass. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >150 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & 137 \text { pass. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 129 occupants with fractures of the tibia or malleoli + knee sprains and dislocations. <br> (93 with malleolus fractures and ankle sprains, 42 witb tibial and fibula fractures). | $\begin{gathered} 15 \mathrm{R}, \quad 16 \mathrm{~L} \\ 0 \mathrm{~B}, \quad 0 ? \\ \chi^{2}=0,03 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 26 \mathrm{R}, & 19 \mathrm{~L} \\ 7 \mathrm{~B}, & 1 ? \\ \chi^{2}= & 0,92 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \mathrm{R}, \quad 14 \mathrm{~L} \\ 0 \mathrm{~B}, \quad 3 ? \\ \chi^{2}=3,27 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 6 \mathrm{R}, & 12 \mathrm{~L} \\ 4 \mathrm{~B}, & 0 ? \\ \chi^{2}= & 1.53 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 41 \mathrm{R}, \quad 35 \mathrm{~L} \\ 7 \mathrm{~B}, \quad 1 ? \\ \chi^{2}=0,41 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \mathrm{R}, \quad 26 \mathrm{~L} \\ 4 \mathrm{~B}, \quad 3 ? \\ \chi^{2}=4.43 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 96 occupants with fractures of the metatarsals, cuneiform bones, navicular, talus, calcaneum + tibial pilon. | $\begin{gathered} 22 \mathrm{R}, \quad 4 \mathrm{~L} \\ 0 \mathrm{~B}, \quad 1 ? \\ \chi^{2}=12,61 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 21 \mathrm{R}, & 18 \mathrm{~L} \\ 3 \mathrm{~B}, & 1 ? \\ \chi^{2}= & 0,22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline 6 \mathrm{R}, & 4 \mathrm{~L} \\ 0 \mathrm{~B}, & 0 ? \\ \chi^{2}= & 0,40 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 10 \mathrm{R}, & 4 \mathrm{~L} \\ 2 \mathrm{~B}, & 0 ? \\ \chi^{2}= & 2,14 \end{array}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 43 \mathrm{R}, \quad 22 \mathrm{~L} \\ 3 \mathrm{~B}, \quad 2 ? \\ \chi^{2}=6,37 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 16 \mathrm{R}, & 8 \mathrm{~L} \\ 2 \mathrm{~B}, & 0 ? \\ \chi^{2}= & 2,34 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |

Table 2: Difference between the right and left leg for restrained and unrestrained front occupants having sustained a frontal impact, depending on footwell intrusion and on whether the area affected is the "foot", or the "ankle", tibia or fibula ( $\mathrm{R}=$ Right, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Left}, \mathrm{B}=$ Both sides, ? unknown side, $\chi^{2}$ significant if $>3,84$-threshold for $5 \%$-).

In the case of slight intrusion ( $<150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), the driver's right foot is injured significantly more often than the left foot. In particular, for the driver, seven calcaneum fractures out of nine occurred on the right foot $\left(\chi^{2}=5.56\right.$ significant, but some numbers are less than 5, 2 fractures on the left).

The ankle and tibia of passengers are injured more often on the left-hand side than on the right. This difference in fact concerns the ankle. Out of 14 restrained and unrestrained passengers, 10 suffered a sprain of the left ankle, near the transmission tunnel. Fractures of the malleoli seem to be evenly distributed. On the other hand, the right foot of passengers would tend to be more frequently injured, but the numbers are too small to demonstrate this. Indeed, extensive deformation of the wheel well, beneath the right foot of passengers (or the left foot of drivers), is often observed.

The effect of the brake pedal is confirmed in the case of right-hand drive vehicles (table 3).

| Gloyns [1979] <br> (UK) | 47 restrained <br> drivers |  | 134 unrestrained <br> drivers |  | 19 restrained <br> drivers |  | 58 unrestrained <br> drivers |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Left | Right | Left | Rigbt | Left | Right | Left |
| Hip | 2 | $\mathbf{1}$ | 15 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| Femur | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| Knee | 3 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tibia/fibula | 3 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Ankle/foot | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Table 3: Comparison between the right and left side for the entire leg, for restrained and unrestrained front passengers sustaining at least one in jury of AIS $2+$ on any body area up to the head inclusive, involved in an 11 o'clock/l o'clock frontal impact, GLOYNS [1979] ${ }^{2}$ (UK).

In the APR database, 12 occupants have a combination of foot and ankle injuries. 6 have metatarsal fractures associated with sprains or fractures of malleoli. 3 have a fracture of the calcaneum associated with a malleolus fracture. 3 have fractures of the tarsus associated with a malleolus fracture. There are accordingly few injuries affecting both the metatarsals and the ankle, even though they represent the most frequent case of foot/ankle combinations. Moreover, they occur generally in the event of extensive footwell intrusion (a single case with intrusion less than 150 mm ). This shows that most of the fractures are due to impacts located on the body area concerned.

## - Influence of occupant's age.

The risk of lower leg injury increases slightly with the age of the occupants, all of them considered together. But this result becomes less obvious when each category of occupants is considered separately, (figure 4). Yet, no significant bias due to the velocity change Delta-V ( $1,5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ between young and old occupants) has been observed.


Figure 4: risk of lower leg injury with respect to the occupant's age, in frontal collisions.

So, based on the data, the occupant's age seems to have little influence on leg injury statistics.

### 4.4. Influence of the parameters concerning the collision. <br> - The velocity change delta-V.

$50 \%$ of all occupants (injured or not) were involved in frontal collisions with delta-V below $35 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Whereas $50 \%$ of the occupants sustaining lower leg injuries are involved in frontal collision with delta-V below $47 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. This figure remains the same as far as the upper leg is concerned. So a high velocity change is necessary to produce lower leg injuries, (figure 5). Below $25 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, only $2,1 \%$ of the occupants sustain lower leg injuries.


Figure 5: risk of lower leg injury with respect to the velocity change delta- V , in frontal collisions.

## - The footwell intrusion.

The risk of lower leg injury increases with the extent of the footwell intrusion, (figure 6). $50 \%$ of all occupants (injured or not) are involved in collisions with footwell intrusions below 40 mm . But when they are injured in the lower leg region, the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile reaches 200 mm . As far as upper leg is concerned, the $50^{\text {th }}$ percentile for the footwell intrusion is 170 mm for unrestrained drivers and 330 mm for restrained drivers, because in that case, knee impacts occur less often.


Figure 6: risk of lower leg injury with respect to the footwell intrusion, in frontal collisions.

At the lower end of the range of intrusion size ( $<50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), 52 occupants have lower leg injuries. Yet, 7 cases of talus fratures, 9 with malleolus fractures and 8 with metatarsal fractures are encountered all the same. But the risk is low ( $52 / 1276=4,1 \%$ ) among the many occupants involved in those minor accidents.

Unfortunately, among violent impacts with velocity change between 46 and $65 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which represents the realistic and reasonable field of conditions for future experimental studies, no difference was observed between impacts with the footwell intrusion below 150 mm and those with an intrusion of between 150 and 350 mm , in terms of risk to lower legs, (figure 7).


Figure 7: risk of lower leg injury for all front occupants restrained or not, involved in a frontal collision on their near side with delta-V between 46 and $65 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, with respect to the footwell intrusion.

- The dashboard intrusion.

For a given footwell intrusion, the risk of lower leg injury does not increase significantly with respect to the dashboard intrusion, (figure 8). This does not support the observations of leg entrapments described by States [1987, 1986] 11,12 , although the small number of occupants made it difficult to study this parameter.


Figure 8: risk of lower leg injury for all front occupants restrained or not, involved in a frontal collision on their near side with delta-V between 26 and $65 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, and footwell intrusion between 150 and 350 mm , with respect to the dashboard intrusion.

- The impact configuration.
48.1 \% of the occupants were involved in frontal impacts which cause intrusions into the driver side compartment (up to $2 / 3$ overlap plus some non-symmetrical $100 \%$ overlap collisions), $27.9 \%$ in collisions on the passenger side, and $24,0 \%$ in non-offset collisions. One must notice the high proportion of collisions with high overlaps which induce effects similar to non-offset collisions: 52.2 \% sustains overlaps over $2 / 3$.

The risk of lower leg injury is twice as high when the impact is located on the occupant near side, (figure 9).

Figure 9: risk of lower leg injury with respect to the configuration of the frontal collision.

When the impact occurs on the occupant near side, the risk is particularly high when the overlap is around $1 / 2,(15,9 \%)$, (figure 10 ). $1 / 4$ or $1 / 3$ overlap impacts have generally a low mean acceleration level.


Figure 10: risk of lower leg injury for restrained and unrestrained front occupant with respect to the overlap of the frontal collision.

Non-offset collisions produce effects on lower legs, similar to those observed when the impact is located either on the occupant near side or on the opposite side, depending on the velocity change and the body areas concerned. The risk of ankle injury substantially increases when the impact is located on the occupant near side, (figure 11). A higher intrusion velocity is required in order to produce an ankle fracture than that required to fracture the knee. The foot is directly in contact with the footwell, and consequently submitted to its acceleration, whereas, the knee moves and comes into contact with the dashboard. Moreover, the crushable dashboard makes the knee less responsive to its acceleration.


Figure 11: comparison between the risk of ankle injury and the risk of knee injury of the drivers with respect to the configuration of the frontal collision.

### 4.5. Radiographic analysis.

Of the 208 occupants with lower leg injuries, 64 were treated in Poissy hospital, the most frequently one mentioned in the accident files, 15 for ankle sprains and 49 for fractures below the tibial plateaux. Nearly all the medical files (42/49) for these occupants have been retrieved. Accordingly, the proportion of the various fractures considered in this sample, is theoretically still representative of the real-world accident.

X -rays are therefore available for $\mathbf{4 2}$ occupants involved in a frontal impact of known characteristics (photos of the vehicle, delta-V, intrusion, etc.), since this research was performed on part of the occupants selected in the previous statistical study.

The differences observed between the right and left legs of drivers are again found in this sample (table 4). Of 31 drivers, 19 have injuries to their right lower leg and 11 have injuries to their left lower leg, ( $\chi^{2}=4,13$ is significant). Contrary to the global sample (with the 208 occupants), most of this difference comes from the metatarsal fractures, (right foot: 10 drivers; left foot: 4 drivers ).

|  | 31 drivers |  |  | 11 passengers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Right | Left | Both sides | Right | Left | Both sides |
| Lower leg | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Foot in front of <br> Chopart's line + <br> tibial pilon | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Metatarsals | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 |

Table 4: Comparison between the right and left legs, in the sample of 42 occupants fractured below the tibial plateaux, who have sustained a frontal impact restrained or unrestrained, and for which X-rays are available.

Each of the 42 occupants has been grouped according to his injury and the associated mechanism, (table 5).

| Type of injury | Number of <br> occupants | Possible mechanism |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Fibula head fractures, often associated <br> with tibial plateau fractures. | 3 | direct impact on the knee. |
| Tibial metaphysis fractures. | 2 | direct impact on the metaphysis. |
| Tibial diaphysis fractures. | 4 | direct impact on the tibia. |
| Tibial pilon and calcaneal fractures. | 2 | Forces along the tibia, acting under the heel. |
| Malleolus fractures, (one must keep in <br> mind the 15 cases of ankle sprains <br> discarded before). | 6 | Lateral motions: inversion and eversion motions <br> due to forces acting under the ball of the foot. |
| Talar fractures | 1 | Dorsiflexion. |
| Metatarsal fractures (14 cases); toe, <br> cuneiform, navicular and talus head <br> fractures. | 19 | Forces acting under the metatarsal condyles, <br> combined with the inertial effect of the foot in its <br> dorsiflexing movement, and/or muscular <br> contractions during a hard breaking. |
| Talo-navicular and talo-calcaneal <br> dislocation, (2 without any fractures). | 3 | Unknown. |
| Complex fractures within several <br> areas: ankle, foot. | 2 | No single mechanism. |

Table 5: mechanisms for the 42 occupants fractured below the tibial plateaux, who have sustained a frontal impact restrained or unrestrained, and for which X-rays are available.

The main injury mechanisms for the 2 most frequent type of injury are as follows:

- Fractures of the metatarsals ( 14 cases), plus, fractures of toes, cuneiform bones, navicular and talar head ( 5 cases): 19 cases.

Forces on the metatarsal extremities acting at the same time as the effect of inertia of the foot in its dorsiflexion movement.
Most of the metatarsal fractures occur at the condyles ( $9 / 15$ occupants) and at the basis ( $6 / 5$ occupants), but a very few at the diaphysis ( $2 / 15$ occupants having sustained 61 and $78 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ of delta-V).

In two cases, the effect of forces under the ball of the foot is clear, (pictures 1,2 ).


Picture 1: $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ left metatarsal condyle fractures. Restrained driver. Delta-V $=45$ $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. Footwell intrusion $=200 \pm 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. Mean acceleration $=10 \mathrm{~g} .12$ o'clock, $2 / 3$ overlap
 impact on the driver side.

Mechanism: forces acting directly under the metatarsal condyles, due to the wheel well intrusion, associated with the inertial effect of the leg and/or muscular contractions.


Picture 2: Several fractures of the left foot. $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ metatarsal condyle fractures, plus $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ metatarsal condyle and basis fractures. Cuboid fracturedislocation. Scaphoid fracture and $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ cuneiform fractures. Restrained driver. Delta-V $=49 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Footwell intrusion $=400 \pm 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. Mean acceleration $=9 \mathrm{~g} .12$ o'clock, $1 / 2$ overlap impact on the driver side.

Mechanism: forces acting directly under the metatarsal condyles, due to the intrusion of the wheel into the compartment, associated with the inertial effect of the leg and/or muscular contractions.

- Fractures of malleoli (after eliminating the 15 cases with sprains): 6 cases. Inversion and eversion motions due to forces acting on the ball of the foot.
In two cases, fractures were caused by a lateral impact on the ankle. However, the associated footwell deformations are rather singular, (picture 3 and 4).


Picture 3: right medial malleolus fracture, tibial fibular ligament rupture. Unrestrained driver. Delta-V $=40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Footwell intrusion $=200 \pm 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. Mean acceleration $=12 \mathrm{~g} .12$
 o'clock, $1 / 2$ overlap impact on the passenger side.

Mechanism: lateral impact on the lateral side of the right ankle, due to the intrusion of the central structure of this car.


Picture 4: left medial malleolus fracture. Restrained driver. Delta-V $=47$ $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. Footwell intrusion $=500 \pm 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. Mean acceleration $=6 \mathrm{~g} .11$ o'clock, $1 / 3$ overlap impact on the driver side.

Mechanism: lateral impact on the lateral side of the left ankle, due to the buckling of the left side sill.

Lestina [1992] ${ }^{5}$ confirms the mechanism of inversion and eversion by her study on 23 cases.

## 5. CONCLUSION.

The multiple mechanisms of lower leg injuries are slightly less important compared with the knee impacts on the dashboard which involved femur and pelvis fractures together with knee injuries, but they remain quite frequent. Metatarsal fractures, malleolus fractures and ankles sprains are the most frequent injuries found for the lower leg, according to this study.

The risk of lower leg injury remains the same for all categories of occupant, except unrestrained passengers.

- Seat belt use does not contribute to a reduction of these lesions.
- The occupant's age has little influence on leg injury statistics.
- The velocity change delta-V, the footwell intrusion and the impact configuration are the parameters having predominant influence on leg injuries. The 50th percentile for delta-V is $47 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and 200 mm for the footwell intrusion. The risk of injury doubles when the impact is located on the occupant near side.
- The effect of the pedals on foot injuries has been highlighted, even in the case of right-hand driving. The left ankle of passengers, near the transmission tunnel, is more frequently injured, especially as far as ankle sprains are concerned.
The main mechanisms consist of forces acting under the ball of the foot creating metatarsal fractures, and inversion and eversion motions of the foot producing malleolus fractures. This work represents a first step towards a global study involving PMHS experiments and Hybrid III dummy tests.
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## ANNEXE <br> THE 208 OCCUPANTS WITH LOWER LEG INJURIES.

| K <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 5 <br> 2 <br> 2 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ <br> a <br> 0 <br>  <br> 0 <br> 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \sum_{5}^{0} \\ & \mathbf{2} \\ & \mathbf{M} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | OCCUPANT'S SEAT |  | AGE (years) |  | $\qquad$ |  |  |  | OVERIAP |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | -1620_2 | PAS | NO | 27 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 1/2 Right | Talar frecture | Right |
|  | -1873_1 | DRV | YES | 44 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | $2 / 3$ Left | Malleolue frectures | Unknown Right |
|  | 2600_1 | DRV | YES | 21 | 62 | 100 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 2/3 Left | Metatareal irscturas | Right |
|  | 2688_2 | PAS | YES | 22 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Toe frecture | Left |
|  | 2664_2 | PAS | YES | 17 | 66 | 600 | 300 | 10 | 12 | 1/3 Right | -Lower leg frecture" | Rioht |
|  | 2871_2 | PAS | YES | 26 | 41 | 300 | 300 | 6 | 11 | 1/3 Right | Liefranc-line aprain | Left |
|  | 2676_2 | PAS | NO | 32 | 60 | 400 | 200 | 11 | 1 | 1/3 Right | -Lower leg frecture* | Right \& Left |
|  | 2681_2 | PAS | NO | 24 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1/2 Right | Metataral fractures | Right |
|  | 2761_1* | DRV | NO | 47 | 65 | 300 | 100 | 12 | 12 | 1/2 Right | Deltoid ligament aprein | Left |
|  | 2761_1* | DRV | NO | 47 | 65 | 300 | 100 | 12 | 12 | 1/2 Right | Metatareal frectures | Left |
| 10 | 2761_2 | PAS | NO | 71 | 65 | 300 | 100 | 12 | 12 | 1/2 Right | Malleolus frectures | Bilaterat Left |
| 11 | -3068_1 | DRV | NO | 48 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Metatereal fractures | Rioht |
| 12 | -3260_1 | DRV | NO | 23 | 48 | 300 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% Left | Fibule heed or upper fibule frecture | Right |
| 13 | -3270_1 | DRV | NO | 30 | 61 | 300 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Matatareal frectures | Right |
| 14 | -3270_2* | PAS | NO | 28 | 61 | 300 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malieolus frectures | Leteral Left |
|  | -3270_20 | PAS | NO | 28 | 61 | 300 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malleolus frectures | Medial Right |
| 15 | -3320_10 | Dav | YES | 28 | 48 | 400 | 200 | 0 | 12 | 1/2 Left | cuboid frecture | Lere |
|  | -3329_ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Dav | YES | 28 | 40 | 400 | 200 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/2 Lets | Cuneitorm frecture | Lets |
|  | -3320_10 | DRV | YES | 28 | 40 | 400 | 200 | 0 | 12 | 1/2 Lete | Metatereel frectures | Lets |
|  | _3320_10 | DRV | YES | 28 | 40 | 400 | 200 | 0 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Scaphoid trecture | Lets |
| 16 | -3342_1 | DRV | YES | 34 | 60 | 200 | 200 | 11 | 12 | 2/3 Left | "Lower leg trecture" | Right |
| 17 | 3376_1 | DRV | YES | 47 | 60 | 600 | 100 | 11 | 12 | $2 / 3$ Left | -Ankle aprein* | Right |
| 18 | 3390_1 | DRV | NO | 43 | 68 | 300 | 100 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Tibiel diephyaia frecture | Right a Left |
| 19 | 3480_1 | DRV | NO | 33 | 48 | 300 | 300 | 9 | 12 | 1/3 Left | Fibule treed or upper fibide frecture | Left |
| 20 | -3496_1 | DRV | NO | 30 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Tibial diephyare frecture | Rioht |
| 21 | -3649_1 | DRV | YES | 46 | 46 | 200 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 213 Left | Metatoreal fractures | Lete |
| 22 | 3613_1 | DRV | NO | 21 | 60 | 300 | 200 | 12 | 1 | 100\% Lett | - Ankle aprain* | Right |
| 23 | 3670_1 | DRV | YES | 41 | 69 | 800 | 400 | 11 | 12 | 100\% Left | "Lower leg tracture" (distal pert) | Right \& Left |
| 24 | -3670_20 | PAS | NO | 39 | 60 | 800 | 400 | 11 | 12 | 100\% Left | Ankle frecture | Lett |
|  | -3670_2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | NO | 39 | 60 | 800 | 400 | 11 | 12 | 100\% Left | Tibre frecture, (diatal pert) | Left |
| 26 | 3770_2 | PAS | NO | 43 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1/3 Right | Metatarsal frectures | Rioht |
| 26 | 3800_1 | DRV | YES | 29 | 64 | 400 | 400 | 8 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Malleolus frectures | Mediel Right |
| 27 | 3877_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 34 | 63 | 200 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% Left | -Anke aprain" | Right |
|  | -3877_10 | DRV | YES | 34 | 63 | 200 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% Left | -Foot trectura" | Left |
| 28 | -3888_2 | PAS | NO | 10 | 70 | 600 | 600 | 13 | 12 | 1/2 Left | -Lower leg tracture* | Left |
| 28 | -3890_1 | DRV | NO | 46 | 44 | 300 | 100 | 8 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Pilon tibial | Rioht |
| 30 | -3803_2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | NO | 23 | 46 | 600 | 300 | 8 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Metataraal frectures | Right |
|  | 3803_2* | PAS | NO | 23 | 46 | 600 | 300 | 8 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Toe dialocation | Rioht |
| 31 | 3967_1 | DRV | No | 71 | 40 | 200 | 300 | 12 | 12 | 1/2 Rught | Malleolua frecturea | Bilateral Rught |
| 32 | 3068_1 | DRV | NO | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 2/3 Left | -Ankle aprsin" | Left |
| 33 | 4044_1 | DRV | NO | 27 | 67 | 600 | 400 | 12 | 12 | 2/3 Right | - Ankle eprain ${ }^{-}$ | Right \& Left |
| 34 | 4162_1 | DRV | NO | 66 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1/2 Lett | Talo-ceiceneal dialocation | Left |
| 36 | 4220_1 | DRV | NO | 49 | 64 | 100 | 0 | 17 | 12 | 100\% With intruaion | Toe dialocation | Right |
| 36 | -4238_1 | DRV | NO | 32 | 36 | 200 | 100 | 8 | 12 | 213 Left | Calcensal-fibuier aprain | Riohe |
| 37 | -4266_2 | PAS | NO | 26 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | $1 / 2$ Left | "Ankle aprain* | Left |
| 38 | -4262_1 | DRV | NO | 22 | 43 | 300 | 100 | 9 | 11 | $2 / 3$ Left | Metatersal frectures | Right |
| 30 | 4433_ ${ }^{10}$ | DRV | NO | 26 | 60 | 300 | 200 | 13 | 12 | 2/3 Left | Deltoid ligament aprein | Left |
|  | 4433_19 | DRV | NO | 26 | 60 | 300 | 200 | 13 | 12 | 213 Left | Teler frecture | Right |
| 40 | 4607_1 | DRV | YES | 64 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Taler frecture | Unknown |
| 41 | 4663_1 | DRV | YES | 21 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | $1 / 2$ Left | Toe Precture | Left |
| 42 | 4693_2 | PAS | YES | 36 | 62 | 600 | 200 | 7 | 12 | 1/3 Right | Taiar fracture | Right |
| 43 | 4684_20 | PAS | NO | 47 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 2/3 Right | - Ankle aprain ${ }^{\circ}$ | Left |
|  | -4684_2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | NO | 47 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 2/3 Rroht | Meterareal frectures | Left |
| 44 | 4737_1 | DRV | YES | 64 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | $2 / 3$ Left | Mallioolvs frectures | Bilateral Lett |
| 46 | 47461 | DRV | NO | 48 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 11 | $1 / 2$ Left | Malieoius tractures | Medial Left |


| 46 | 4765_2* | PAS | NO | 45 | 33 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 1/4 Rioht | Molleoius traetures | Biloteral Right |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4766_2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | NO | 45 | 33 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 1/4 Rioht | Talo-caiceneal dialocation | Rioht |
| 47 | 4781_1* | DRV | NO | 25 | 67 | 200 | 100 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intusion | Calcaneal frecture | Rioht |
|  | -4781_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | NO | 26 | 67 | 200 | 100 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malleolus fractures | Loteral Lett |
| 48 | 4800_1 | DRV | YES | 60 | 40 | 600 | 400 | $\theta$ | 11 | 1/2 Let: | Calcaneal-fibular aprain | Right |
| 48 | 4800_2 | PAS | YES | 64 | 46 | 600 | 400 | $\theta$ | 11 | 1/2 Left | Cuneiform tracture | Riohe |
| 60 | 4842_1 | DRV | NO | 25 | 63 | 600 | 300 | $\theta$ | 11 | 1/4 Lett | Tibial \& fibule diaphyais tractures | Left |
| 51 | -4841_1 | DRV | NO | 56 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 2/3 Rioht | Tareal bone dialocations | Righe |
| 62 | -4867_10 | DRV | YES | 56 | 28 | 100 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 2/3 Rioht | Cuboid frecture | Left |
|  | 4867_10 | DRV | YES | 65 | 29 | 100 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 213 Right | Metataral fractures | Left |
| 63 | 6004_1 | DRV | NO | 46 | 46 | 300 | 200 | 12 | 11 | 100\% With intrusion | Tibiel diaphysie fracture | Rioht |
| 54 | 6163_2 | PAS | No | 18 | 50 | 200 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Withintrusion | Tibial \& fibula diaphyoia fractures | Right |
| 65 | $6164{ }^{6} 1{ }^{10}$ | DRV | No | 44 | 52 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Metatarsal frecturee | Right |
|  | 6164_1* | DRV | No | 44 | 52 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 2/3 Rioht | Toe dietocation | Right |
| 66 | 6160_1 | DRV | No | 30 | 48 | 100 | 200 | 11 | 12 | 100\% With interusion | -Ankle aprain ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Left |
| 67 | 6310_2 | PAS | YES | 17 | 46 | 100 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malieolve fractures | Biloteral Lett |
| 68 | 6318_1 | DRV | NO | 30 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | -Lower leg fracture ${ }^{-}$ | Right |
| 68 | 6320_2 | PAS | YES | 25 | 33 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 12 | 2/3 Lett | -Foot aprain" | Left |
| 60 | 6378_2 | PAS | NO | 71 | 28 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/2 Lett | Malleolue fractures | Leterel Right |
| 61 | 6407_2 | PAS | No | 44 | 24 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 11 | 213 Lett | Tarsal bone dialocatione | Right |
| 62 | 6412_1* | DRV | No | 23 | 61 | 600 | 200 | 12 | 12 | 100\% Lett | -Ankle disiocation* | Rioht |
|  | 6412_10 | DRV | No | 23 | 61 | 600 | 200 | 12 | 12 | 100\% Lett | Fibule frecture | Righe |
| 83 | 6420_1 | DRV | No | 32 | 36 | 100 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 2/3 Lett | Colconeal-fibular aprain | Left |
| 64 | 6431_1 | DRV | YES | 21 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Metactareal fracturee | Right |
| 65 | 6432_1* | DRV | YES | 51 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 2/3 Lett | Scaphoid fracture | Right |
|  | 6432_1* | DRV | YES | 51 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 2/3 Lett | Telar frecture | Rioht |
| 66 | 6614_1 | DRV | No | 46 | 43 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 2/3 Left | Tibial \& fibule diephysie tractures | Right |
| 67 | 6610_1 | DRV | NO | 48 | 27 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/2 Left | Toe dislocation | Righe |
| 88 | 6637_1 | DRV | NO | 41 | 36 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 1/4 Lett | Calcaneal-fibuiar aprain | Left |
| 68 | 6604_1 | DRV | YES | 28 | 38 | 200 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1/2 Lett | -Ankle aprain* | Right |
| 70 | 6810_2 | PAS | NO | 51 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 100\% No intrcsion | Fibula hoed or upper fibule frecture | Lett |
| 71 | _6676_1 | DRV | YES | 21 | 65 | 600 | 300 | 10 | 12 | 1/3 Laft | Tibiol \& fibule diephysia frectures | Lett |
| 72 | 6688_1 | DRV | NO | 25 | 65 | 300 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malleotive frectures | Bilateral Right |
| 73 | 6720_2 | PAS | No | 40 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 2/3 Left | Fibule head or upper fibule fracture | Rioht |
| 74 | 6768_1 | DRV | YES | 36 | 43 | 400 | 400 | 7 | 11 | 1/3 Lett | Metotoreal frectures | Left |
| 76 | 6781_1 | DRV | No | 37 | 51 | 100 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 100\% Left | Pilon tibial | Right |
| 76 | 6787_2 | PAS | No | 23 | 43 | 200 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 1/3 Right | Malleolve fractures | Lateral Lett |
| 77 | -6781_2 | PAS | No | 22 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 1/2 Lett | -Ankle aprain" | Left |
| 78 | 6818_2 | PAS | No | 23 | 50 | 600 | 300 | 12 | 12 | 1/3 Lett | Malleolve fractures | Medial Left |
| 78 | 6876_2 | PAS | YES | 40 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Ankle tracture | Unknown |
| 80 | _6808_1 | DRV | YES | 56 | 51 | 300 | 300 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/3 Lett | Oeltoid ligament aprain | Left |
| 81 | 6844_2* | PAS | No | 18 | 68 | 600 | 300 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Lett | Malleolus tractures | Medial Rioht |
|  | 6844_2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | No | 18 | 66 | 800 | 300 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Lett | Seaphoid frecture | Rioht |
| 82 | 6856_1 | DRV | YES | 23 | 60 | 600 | 600 | 8 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Metatereat frectures | Left |
| 83 | 6880_1 | DRV | NO | 38 | 65 | 600 | 400 | 10 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Calcaneal frecture | Lett |
| 84 | C103_1 | DRV | No | 56 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Calcaneal-fibular aprain | Right |
| 86 | 6136_20 | PAS | NO | 22 | 44 | 200 | 100 | 8 | 12 | 1/3 Right | Calcaneal fracture | Right |
|  | -6136_2* | PAS | No | 22 | 44 | 200 | 100 | 8 | 12 | 1/3 Rioht | Malleolus tracturee | Lateral Rioht |
| 86 | -6182_2* | PAS | YES | 46 | 61 | 300 | 200 | 17 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Cuboid fracture | Rioht |
|  | -8182_20 | PAS | YES | 48 | 61 | 300 | 200 | 17 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Metataraal fractures | Rioht \& Left |
| 87 | -6266_1 | DRV | YES | 30 | 30 | 200 | 100 | 6 | 11 | 1/4 Lett | Calcaneal-fibuler aproin | Lett |
| 88 | -6306_2 | PAS | No | 32 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 2/3 Lett | - Ankle aprain ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Lete |
| 88 | -6312_1 | DRV | No | 36 | 37 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/2 Lett | Tarsal bone dialocations | Right |
| 80 | 6346_2 | PAS | No | 48 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 100\% No intrusion | Matatereal fractures | Left |
| 81 | 6362_1 | DRV | No | 24 | 52 | 400 | 200 | $\theta$ | 11 | 1/2 Leth | Tibial diaphyaie frecture | Unknown |
| 92 | 6403_1 | DRV | YES | 38 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Metatereal frectures | Rioht |
| 93 | 6412_1 | DRV | YES | 21 | 66 | 600 | 600 | 10 | 11 | 1/2 Left | Fibule frecture | Righe |
| 94 | 6474_1 | DRV | NO | 28 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Taler frecture | Right |
| 86 | 6476_2 | PAS | YES | 18 | 51 | 700 | 600 | 8 | 12 | 2/3 Lett | -Ankle sprain* | Left |
| 96 | 6662_1 | DRV | NO | 33 | 38 | 100 | 100 | 8 | 1 | 1/2 Riche | -Foot frecture" | Left |
| 87 | 6668_1 | DRV | YES | 18 | 78 | 700 | 600 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Metatereal frectures | Right |
| 98 | -6660_2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | No | 14 | 78 | 700 | 600 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrwion | Cuboid fracture | Lett |
|  | -6668_2* | PAS | No | 14 | 78 | 700 | 600 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrwion | Malieolus fractures | Bilaterel Rioht |
|  | -8680_ $2^{\circ}$ | PAS | NO | 14 | 78 | 700 | 600 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malleolus fractures | Bilateral Left |
|  | 6660_ ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | NO | 14 | 78 | 700 | 600 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Talo-calcaneal dielocation | Rioht |
| 88 | -6706_1 | DRV | YES | 28 | 61 | 0 | 100 | 12 | 1 | 100\% Lelt | Pilon tibiel | Right |
| 100 | -6738_2 | PAS | YES | 17 | 52 | 400 | 100 | 8 | 11 | 1/2 Right | Tibis fracture, (diatal pertl | Left |
| 101 | 6765_2 ${ }^{\circ}$ | PAS | YES | 36 | 32 | 100 | 0 | $\theta$ | 12 | 100\% Right | -Ankle sprain* | Right |
|  | 6765_20 | PAS | YES | 36 | 32 | 100 | 0 | $\theta$ | 12 | 100\% Right | Scephoid frecture | Lete |
| 102 | 6772_1* | DRV | No | 34 | 67 | 300 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Rioht | Tibial \& fibule diaphyais frectures | Right \& Left |
|  | 6772_1* | DRV | No | 34 | 67 | 300 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Rioht | Toe dislocation | Right |
| 103 | 67781 | DRV | No | 60 | 40 | 100 | 0 | 9 | 11 | $2 / 3$ Leit | Tibial \& fibule diaphysis tractures | teft |


| 104 | 6780_20 | PAS | YES | 63 | 72 | 400 | 300 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Righ: | Ankle frecture | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6780_20 | PAS | YES | 63 | 72 | 400 | 300 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Right | Fibule fracture | Right |
| 106 | 6803_1 | DRV | NO | 26 | 53 | 400 | 200 | 9 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Tibial \& fibule diaphysis frectures | Lett |
| 106 | 6806_2 | PAS | YES | 56 | 63 | 400 | 100 | 15 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Tibial \& fibule diaphysis frectures | Right |
| 107 | 6812_1 | DRV | YES | 30 | 59 | 1000 | 800 | 13 | 12 | 213 Righe | Malleolus tractures | Bilateral Rioht |
| 108 | 6896_1 | DRV | Yes | 32 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 213 Left | -Ankle aprain' | Righ: |
| 108 | 6807_2 | PAS | No | 70 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 1/2 Left | -Ankle aprain ${ }^{-}$ | Left |
| 110 | 6817_1 | DRV | Yes | 42 | 43 | 100 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 2/3 Right | - Ankle aprsin ${ }^{-}$ | Righ: |
| 111 | -6848_2 | PAS | No | 28 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 12 | $2 / 3$ Left | -Anklo aprain* | Left |
| 112 | 7076_1 | DRV | YES | 24 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 2/3 Lett | 'Ankle aprain' | Righe |
| 113 | -7105_10 | DRV | YES | 43 | 60 | 600 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Talar frecture | Left |
|  | $\mathbf{- 7 1 0 5}^{10}$ | DRV | YES | 43 | 60 | 600 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Tarsal bone dislocations | Righe |
| 114 | 7185 _10 | DRV | YES | 26 | 74 | 700 | 600 | 12 | 1 | 2/3 Right | Cuneitorm fracture | Righe |
|  | $\mathrm{-7185}^{10}$ | DRV | YES | 28 | 74 | 700 | 600 | 12 | 1 | 2/3 Rioht | Metotareal frectures | Right |
| 115 | 7205_1 | DRV | No | 23 | 71 | 600 | 200 | 20 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malleolua fractures | Bilateral Left |
| 116 | 7208_10 | DRV | No | 70 | 50 | 300 | 100 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/2 Left | Calcaneal fracture | Left |
|  | -7206_1* | DRV | No | 70 | 50 | 300 | 100 | 9 | 12 | $1 / 2$ Left | Talar tracture | Left |
| 117 | 7233_1 | DRV | YES | 28 | 65 | 600 | 600 | 10 | 11 | $1 / 2$ Left | Calcaneal frecture | Right |
| 118 | 7234_1 | DRV | No | 44 | 63 | 300 | 200 | $\theta$ | 1 | 213 Left | Cunoitorm fracture | Right |
| 118 | -7236_1 | DRV | YES | 30 | 52 | 600 | 600 | 9 | 11 | 1/2 Left | Toe frecture | Left |
| 120 | -7262_1* | DRV | No | 25 | 46 | 200 | 100 | 11 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Malieolus tractures | Loteral Lete |
|  | $\mathbf{7 2 5 2}^{7}{ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | No | 25 | 46 | 200 | 100 | 11 | 12 | $2 / 3$ Right | Taiar frecture | Left |
| 121 | .7303_1 | DRV | No | 34 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1/3 Righe | -Ankie aprain* | Righ: |
| 122 | 7323_1 | DRV | YES | 65 | 60 | 400 | 300 | 12 | 1 | 2/3 Left | Metateraal dialocations | Lete |
| 123 | 7410_1 | DRV | YES | 20 | 56 | 600 | 600 | 8 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Fibuta frecture | Lete |
| 124 | -7448_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | No | 50 | $4 \theta$ | 400 | 200 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/3 Lef: | Malieolus frectures | Leteral Rioht |
|  | $\mathrm{-7440}^{10}$ | DRV | No | 50 | $4 \theta$ | 400 | 200 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/3 Left | Metoteraal fractures | Lete |
|  | -7448_1* | DRV | No | 50 | 48 | 400 | 200 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/3 Left | Tibial \& fibute diaphysia fractures | Left |
| 125 | -7449_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | No | 25 | 57 | 100 | 100 | 12 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Deltoid ligament aprain | Right |
|  | $\mathbf{7 4 4 8}^{74}{ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | No | 25 | 67 | 100 | 100 | 12 | 12 | $1 / 2$ Left | Malleolus frectures | Leteral Right |
|  | 7449_1* | drv | NO | 25 | 67 | 100 | 100 | 12 | 12 | $1 / 2$ Left | Metatarsal fractures | Righe |
|  | 7448_1* | DRV | No | 25 | 67 | 100 | 100 | 12 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Talar fracture | Righe |
| 126 | 7465_1 | DRV | YES | 60 | 54 | 200 | 200 | 12 | 12 | $1 / 2$ Left | Fibula frecture | Righe |
| 127 | 7464_1 | DRV | YES | 67 | 46 | 200 | 100 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Left | - Ankie aprain | Right |
| 128 | 7464_2 | PAS | YES | 62 | 46 | 200 | 100 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Calcaneal fracture | Right |
| 128 | 7483_1 | DRV | YES | 67 | 67 | 500 | 200 | 9 | 11 | 1/3 Left | Cuboid fracture | Lett |
| 130 | 7485_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | No | 34 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Scaphoid fracture | Righe |
|  | $\mathrm{-7485}^{7}{ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | No | 34 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 100\% No intusion | Taier fracture | Righ: |
| 131 | -7485_2 | PAS | No | 34 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | i00\% No intusion | Metataraal fractures | Right |
| 132 | 7600_1 | DRV | Yes | 75 | 60 | 400 | 200 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Righe | Tibie \& fibule fracture. (distal part) | Right |
| 133 | _7600_2 | PAS | YES | 72 | 60 | 400 | 200 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Right | Metatarsal fractures | Right |
| 134 | -7603_1 | DRV | YES | 50 | 32 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 12 | $2 / 3$ Left | Toe dislocation | Righ: |
| 136 | _7607_1 | DRV | YES | 32 | 60 | 100 | 100 | 11 | 12 | 1/3 Left | Toe aprain | Left |
| 136 | _7600_1 | DRV | YES | 57 | 51 | 100 | 200 | 10 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Cuboid fracture | Right |
| 137 | _7608_1 | DRV | YES | 40 | 57 | 400 | 200 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Toe dislocation | Right |
| 138 | -7617_2 | PAS | No | 39 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Left | -Lower leofrecture* | Left |
| 138 | _7610_1 | DRV | YES | 54 | 47 | 500 | 300 | 9 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Mollieotus frectures | Medial Rioht |
| 140 | _7622_1 | DRV | YES | 63 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 213 Left | Tibie \& fibula fracture, (distal part) | Left |
| 141 | _7623_2 | PAS | YES | 50 | 42 | 100 | 100 | 10 | 11 | 1/2 Lett | -Ankle aprain* | Unknown |
| 142 | _7624_1 | DRV | No | 68 | 56 | 300 | 400 | 9 | 12 | 1/3 Rioht | Masteolus fracturea | Brateral Right |
| 143 | 7633_1 | DRV | No | 73 | 54 | 400 | 200 | 13 | 1 | 213 Right | Metatarsal fractures | Rioht |
| 144 | 7634_1 | DRV | YES | 29 | 50 | 100 | 100 | 14 | 12 | 100\% Left | -Ankle sprain* | Right |
| 146 | 7630_1 | DRV | No | 34 | 52 | 0 | 100 | 11 | 1 | 2/3 Left | Cuneitorm tracture | Left |
| 146 | 7694_10 | DRV | No | 23 | 65 | 600 | 600 | 10 | 12 | 1/2 Left | - Ankle dialocation ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Left |
|  | -7694_10 | DRV | No | 23 | 65 | 600 | 500 | 10 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Tibie \& fibule frecture, (distel part) | Lett |
| 147 | -7701_1 | DRV | No | 56 | 56 | 300 | 300 | 11 | 12 | 213 Left | Fibule frecture (distol part) | Left |
| 148 | -7722_1 | DRV | No | 44 | 37 | 100 | 0 | 10 | 1 | $1 / 2$ Right | Talar fracture | Righ: |
| 148 | -7742_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 18 | 43 | 200 | 100 | 10 | 11 | 1/2 Left | Metatareal fractures | Right |
|  | -7742_1* | DRV | YES | 18 | 43 | 200 | 100 | 10 | 11 | 1/2 Left | Toe dialocation | Right |
| 160 | -7765_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 38 | 43 | 400 | 400 | $\theta$ | 11 | 1/4 Left | Molteotua frectures | Bilateral Rught |
|  |  | DRV | YES | 38 | 43 | 400 | 400 | 8 | 11 | 1/4 Lett | Toe frecture | Left |
| 151 | 7776_2 | PAS | YES | 37 | 60 | 600 | 400 | 12 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Ankie fracture | Lett |
| 162 | -7786_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | No | 44 | 73 | 300 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Cuboid frecture | Right |
|  | $\mathrm{-7786}^{10}$ | DRV | No | 44 | 73 | 300 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Cuneitorm fracture | Righ: |
| 163 | .7782 1 | DRV | No | 56 | 42 | 200 | 100 | $\theta$ | 12 | 1/2 Lef: | Malleolus frectures | Medial Left |
| 154 | 7810_1 | DRV | Yes | 44 | 48 | 200 | 100 | 10 | 11 | 1/2 Left | Metatarasi fractures | Left |
| 165 | 7843_1 | DRV | YES | 29 | 56 | 100 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Caicaneal-fibular sprain | Right |
| 166 | 7843_2 | PAS | YES | 30 | 56 | 100 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | -Ankle sprain ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Left |
| 167 | _7927_1 | DRV | NO | 64 | 37 | 100 | 100 | 6 | 12 | 1/4 Lef: | Calcaneal-fibuler sprain | Right |
| 168 | 7836_2 | PAS | No | 18 | 62 | 100 | 100 | 8 | 12 | 213 Left | -Ankle sprain ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Left |
| 168 | 7848_2 | PAS | YES | 61 | 37 | 100 | 100 | 10 | 1 | $2 / 3$ Left | Taiar tracture | Right |
| 160 | 78981 | DRV | No | 22 | 55 | 600 | 200 | 10 | 12 | 1/3 Lett | Lower leo fracture ${ }^{-}$ | Right \& Lef: |


| 161 | 8001_2 | PAS | YES | 46 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 2/3 Rioht | -Foot fracture ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Left |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 162 | 0020_1 | DRV | YES | 38 | 62 | 600 | 600 | 10 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Calcaneal fracture | Rioht |
| 163 | 0024_10 | DRV | NO | 40 | 61 | 300 | 200 | 11 | 12 | 100\% Left | Malieoilus fractures | Medial Right |
|  | 8024_1* | DRV | NO | 40 | 51 | 300 | 200 | 11 | 12 | 100\% Left | Metatarsal fractures | Rioht |
|  | 8024_10 | DRV | NO | 40 | 51 | 300 | 200 | 11 | 12 | 100\% Left | Toe fracture | Left |
| 164 | 8057_1 | DRV | NO | 23 | 68 | 200 | 100 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Left | Metatarsal fractures | Left |
| 165 | 8067_1 | DRV | YES | 36 | 48 | 100 | 0 | 16 | 12 | 100\% Left | Calcancal fracture | Rioht |
| 166 | 8077_1 | DRV | YES | 32 | 45 | 100 | 100 | 10 | 11 | 1/2 Left | -Ankie aprain ${ }^{-}$ | Left |
| 167 | 0114_1 | DRV | YES | 26 | 55 | 400 | 300 | 12 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Malleolus fractures | Medial Lett |
| 168 | 0117_1 | DRV | YES | 23 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 12 | 12 | 100\% Left | Calcaneal-fibutar aprain | Left |
| 168 | 8188_10 | DRV | NO | 18 | 76 | 700 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Cuboid fracture | Rioht |
|  | _8180_10 | DRV | NO | 18 | 75 | 700 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Fibula fracture | Right \& Left |
|  | _8188_10 | DRV | No | 18 | 75 | 700 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Metataraal fractures | Rioht |
|  | - $8189^{(10}$ | DRV | NO | 18 | 76 | 700 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrcsion | Scaphoid fracture | Rioht |
|  | -8189_10 | DRV | NO | 18 | 75 | 700 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Talar fracture | Let |
| 170 | _8180_2 | PAS | YES | 33 | 68 | 0 | 500 | 10 | 11 | 2/3 Left | Fibula head or upper fibula fracture | Lett |
| 171 | -8188_10 | DRV | NO | 42 | 72 | 700 | 600 | 13 | 10 | 100\% With intrusion | Calcaneal fracture | Riohe |
|  | _8188_10 | DRV | NO | 42 | 72 | 700 | 600 | 13 | 10 | 100\% With intrusion | Cuboid fracture | Rioht |
|  |  | DRV | NO | 42 | 72 | 700 | 600 | 13 | 10 | 100\% With intruaion | Cunsiform fracture | Right |
|  | -8180_10 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | NO | 42 | 72 | 700 | 600 | 13 | 10 | 100\% With intrusion | Metatareal fracturea | Rioht |
| 172 | 0273_2 | PAS | YES | 28 | 37 | 200 | 100 | 6 | 12 | 213 Right | -Foot frecture* | Left |
| 173 | -8274_1* | DRV | YES | 36 | 68 | 700 | 700 | 11 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Malleolus fractures | Bilateral Left |
|  | 8274_10 | DRV | YES | 36 | 68 | 700 | 700 | 11 | 12 | 213 Right | Metataraal fractures | Left |
| 174 | 8274_2* | PAS | YES | 14 | 68 | 700 | 700 | 11 | 12 | $2 / 3$ Rioht | Calcaneal fracture | Left |
|  | 8274_2* | PAS | YES | 14 | 68 | 700 | 700 | 11 | 12 | 2/3 Right | Malleolus fracturea | Medial Left |
| 175 | 0503_1 | DRV | YE6 | 48 | 47 | 600 | 300 | 6 | 11 | 1/3 Left | Melleolus frectures | Meciel Lelt |
| 176 | 8530_2 | PAS | YES | 13 | 50 | 400 | 300 | 8 | 12 | 1/3 Rioht | Toe dialocation | Left |
| 177 | 8544_1 | DRV | NO | 32 | 43 | 100 | 200 | 8 | 12 | 1/2 Right | Calcaneal-fibutar aprain | Rioht |
| 178 | 8580_2 | PAS | NO | 18 | 43 | 700 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | -Foot fracture ${ }^{-}$ | Left |
| 178 | -8600_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 56 | 47 | 300 | 200 | 9 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Metatarasi fracturea | Left |
|  | 8600_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 66 | 47 | 300 | 200 | 8 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Toe dislocation | Left |
| 180 | $86162^{\circ}$ | PAS | YES | 18 | 74 | 600 | 600 | 16 | 11 | 100\% With intrusion | Tibial diaphysia fracture | Left |
|  | -8616_2* | PAS | YES | 18 | 74 | 600 | 600 | 16 | 11 | 100\% With intrusion | Toe fracture | Left |
| 181 | 8638_1 | DRV | YES | 28 | 58 | 300 | 300 | 8 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Metataral fractures | Right |
| 182 | 8704_1* | DRV | NO | 41 | 63 | 600 | 300 | 16 | 1 | 100\% With intrusion | Metataraal dialocations | Rioht |
|  | [8704_1* | DRV | No | 41 | 63 | 600 | 300 | 16 | 1 | 100\% With intrusion | Scaphoid Iracture | Rioht |
| 183 | 8704_2* | PAS | no | 22 | 63 | 600 | 300 | 16 | 1 | 100\% With intrusion | Metataral fractures | Rioht |
|  | 8704_2* | PAS | No | 22 | 63 | 600 | 300 | 16 | 1 | 100\% With intrusion | Toe dialocation | Rioht |
| 184 | 8714_2 | PAS | YES | 18 | 46 | 300 | 100 | 7 | 12 | 1/3 Rioht | Metatareal fractures | Right |
| 165 | 8722_1 | DRV | YES | 55 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Pilon tibial | Rioht |
| 186 | 8723_10 | DRV | YES | 22 | 76 | 600 | 500 | 17 | 11 | 100\% Left | Talar fracture | Left |
|  | -8723_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 22 | 75 | 500 | 500 | 17 | 11 | 100\% Left | Tarasl bone dislocations | Left |
| 187 | -8723_2 | PAS | YES | 18 | 75 | 600 | 500 | 17 | 11 | 100\% Left | Malleolus fractures | Lateral Right |
| 188 | 8728_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 60 | 40 | 300 | 200 | 6 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Malleolus fractures | Medial Rıoht |
|  | -8720_1 ${ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 60 | 40 | 300 | 200 | 6 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Metataras fractures | Rioht |
|  | 8728_1* | DRV | YES | 60 | 40 | 300 | 200 | 6 | 12 | 1/2 Lett | Scaphoid fracture | Right |
|  | 8728_1 ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | DRV | YES | 60 | 40 | 300 | 200 | 6 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Talo-calceneal dialocation | Right |
| 188 | 8728_2 | PAS | YES | 58 | 40 | 300 | 200 | 6 | 12 | 1/2 Left | Talar fracture | R oht |
| 180 | 8742_1 | DRV | YES | 68 | 48 | 400 | 200 | 6 | 12 | 1/3 Left | Metataraal fractures | Left |
| 181 | 0834_2 | PAS | YES | 40 | 28 | 100 | 100 | 8 | 1 | 1/3 Rioht | Calcaneal-fibular aprain | Rioht |
| 182 | 8868_1 | DRV | YES | 21 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 1/2 Rioht | Malleolus fractures | Lateral Lett |
| 183 | 8878_1 | DRV | No | 27 | 38 | 600 | 300 | 5 | 11 | 1/4 Left | Malleolus fractures | Medial Left |
| 184 | 8861_2 | PAS | NO | 18 | 38 | 100 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 1/3 Rioht | Calcaneal-fibular aprain | Rioht |
| 186 | -8893_1 | DRV | Yes | 29 | 63 | 400 | 100 | 0 | 12 | 1/2 Lett | Fibula fracture | Rioht |
| 186 | _8002_1 | DRV | YES | 46 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 2/3 Left | Malleolus tractures | Medial Left |
| 187 | -8003_1* | DRV | YES | 66 | 40 | 200 | 100 | 10 | 12 | $2 / 3$ Left | Calcaneal fracture | Rioht |
|  | -8003_1* | DRV | YES | 66 | 40 | 200 | 100 | 10 | 12 | 213 Left | Malieolus fractures | Lateral Rioht |
| 188 | 0026_1 | DRV | YES | 22 | 74 | 300 | 400 | 15 | 12 | 100\% Right | -Ankle aprain* | Left |
| 188 | -8037_2 | PAS | NO | 63 | 41 | 100 | 100 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Right | Ankle fracture | Left |
| 200 | 8042_1 | DRV | YES | 30 | 47 | 600 | 600 | 8 | 11 | 1/3 Left | Malleolus fractures | Unknown Right |
| 201 | 8043_1 | DRV | YES | 20 | 51 | 0 | 0 | $\theta$ | 2 | 100\% No intrusion | Talar fracture | Right |
| 202 | 8068_1 | DRV | YES | 31 | 36 | 200 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 1/3 Rioht | -Ankle aprain" | Rioht |
| 203 | 8062_1 | DRV | YES | 22 | 48 | 100 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Rioht | Malieolus fractures | Lateral Right |
| 204 | 8068_1 | DRV | YES | 60 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Catcaneat fracture | Rioht |
| 206 | 0074_1 | DRV | YES | 30 | 65 | 100 | 100 | 11 | 12 | 1/2 Rioht | Tibial \& fibula diaphyara fractures | Left |
| 206 | -8082_1 | DRV | YES | 21 | 45 | 100 | 100 | 13 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | -Ankle aprain ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | Left |
| 207 | -8083_2 | PAS | YES | 50 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 100\% No intrusion | Ankle fracture | Unknown |
| 208 | $81161^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 37 | 70 | 200 | 300 | 20 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Metataraal fractures | Rioht |
|  | _8116_10 | DRV | YES | 37 | 70 | 200 | 300 | 20 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Tersal bone dialocations | Right |
|  | $8116{ }^{\circ}$ | DRV | YES | 37 | 70 | 200 | 300 | 20 | 12 | 100\% With intrusion | Toe dislocation | Rioht |

Rq: Bold-faced cases represent the 4 ones illustrated in the article.


[^0]:    * Number in brackets designates the references at the end of the paper.

